

## SO(6,2) dynamical symmetry of the SU(2) MIC-Kepler problem

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 L249

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/32/23/101>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.105

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:33

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

***SO*(6, 2) dynamical symmetry of the *SU*(2) MIC-Kepler problem**

M V Pletyukhov and E A Tolkachev

Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Scorina av., 70, Minsk 220072, Belarus

E-mail: plet@dragon.bas-net.by and tea@dragon.bas-net.by

Received 21 January 1999, in final form 13 April 1999

**Abstract.** It is shown that the full group of dynamical symmetry for the 5D *SU*(2) MIC-Kepler problem is *SO*(6, 2).

It is well known that both nonrelativistic and relativistic quantum Kepler problems (with or without magnetic charges) can be treated in the terms of the dynamical group *SO*(4, 2) [1, 2]. The dynamical symmetry properties of the Kepler and MIC-Kepler [3] problems have been considered in detail in [4].

Non-Abelian generalization of the nonrelativistic MIC-Kepler and Kepler-monopole problems is possible in certain higher dimensions [5]. So, the *SU*(2) generalization is available in 5D Euclidean space. It is known [6–8] that the 5D MIC-Kepler (Kepler) model on the background of the *SU*(2) Yang–Mills instantonic potential can be formulated in terms of an 8D harmonic (singular) oscillator. Further consideration of this correspondence is motivated because the complicated dynamics in such a topologically nontrivial background as the Yang–Mills instanton (which is of great interest in physics [9]) can be treated in merely algebraic terms. In [6, 10] it has been shown that *SU*(2) Kepler problem manifests *SO*(6) symmetry. On the other hand, it possesses the *SO*(1, 2) (*SU*(1, 1)) dynamical symmetry as does its MIC-Kepler counterpart [8].

In this letter we demonstrate that the full dynamical group of the 5D *SU*(2) MIC-Kepler problem is *SO*(6, 2) (the Kepler problem does not possess such a larger symmetry). We formulate this symmetry in terms of the 8D harmonic oscillator creation and annihilation operators and show how to derive the known *SO*(6) symmetry (it is not the subgroup!) using such notions.

We recall that the 8D harmonic (singular) oscillator eigenproblem is described as

$$H_0 \Psi_0^{(8)} = E_0 \Psi_0^{(8)} \quad H_0 = -2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_i^*} + \frac{\omega^2}{2} \xi_i^* \xi_i \quad (1)$$

$$H \Psi^{(8)} = E \Psi^{(8)} \quad H = H_0 - \frac{2K^2}{\xi_i^* \xi_i} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (2)$$

with

$$K^2 = K_\alpha K_\alpha \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \quad (3)$$

where  $K_a$  in terms of the auxiliary coordinates

$$z_1 = -\frac{\text{Im } \xi_2}{\text{Re } \xi_1} \quad z_2 = \frac{\text{Re } \xi_2}{\text{Re } \xi_1} \quad z_3 = -\frac{\text{Im } \xi_1}{\text{Re } \xi_1} \quad (4)$$

is expressed as

$$K_\alpha = \frac{i}{2} \left( z_\alpha z_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\beta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha} - \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} z_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\gamma} \right). \quad (5)$$

Under certain conditions it is equivalent to the 5D  $SU(2)$  MIC-Kepler (Kepler) problem

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \varphi_0^{(5)} = \mathcal{E}_0 \varphi_0^{(5)} \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{\pi_\mu^2}{2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2R^2} - \frac{\kappa}{R} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{H} \varphi^{(5)} = \mathcal{E} \varphi^{(5)} \quad \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 - \frac{l(l+1)}{2R^2} \quad (7)$$

where the covariant derivative  $\pi_\mu = -i\partial_\mu - A_\mu^a \Lambda_a^{2l+1}$  contains  $SU(2)$  Yang–Mills instanton [9] as the gauge potential defined due to

$$A_\mu^a dr_\mu = \frac{1}{R(R+r_0)} (-r_4 dr_a + r_a dr_4 - \varepsilon_{abc} r_b dr_c)$$

and  $\Lambda_a^{2l+1}$  are the generators of the  $(2l+1)$ -dimensional representation of  $SU(2)$ .

These conditions are:

- (1) the coordinates of 5D Euclidean space are expressed through those of 8D space by means of the Hurwitz transformation

$$r_\mu = \xi^* \gamma_\mu \xi$$

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_\alpha \\ i\sigma_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

which possesses the property  $R = \xi^* \xi$ ;

- (2) the eigenvalues of one problem are expressed through the parameters of another one and vice versa:

$$E_0 = 4\kappa \quad \omega^2 = -8\mathcal{E}_0 \quad (9)$$

$$E = 4\kappa \quad \omega^2 = -8\mathcal{E} \quad (10)$$

- (3) the equivariance condition

$$\mathbf{K}^2 \Psi^{(8)} = l(l+1) \Psi^{(8)} \quad (11)$$

is supposed to hold. It allows us to establish the correspondence between the respective Hilbert spaces

$$\Psi^{(8)}(\xi_i, \xi_i^*) = \sum_{m,m'} D_{mm'}^l(z) \varphi_{mm'}^{(5)}(r_\mu). \quad (12)$$

Here  $D_{mm'}^l(z)$  are the  $SU(2)$  Wigner functions expressed through the vector parameters which are related to the Euler angles as

$$z_1 = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\varphi - \psi}{2} / \cos \frac{\varphi + \psi}{2}$$

$$z_2 = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\varphi - \psi}{2} / \cos \frac{\varphi + \psi}{2} \quad (13)$$

$$z_3 = \tan \frac{\varphi + \psi}{2}.$$

The 8D oscillator's annihilation and creation operators

$$a_i = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \left( \xi_i + \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i^*} \right) \quad b_i = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \left( \xi_i^* + \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \right) \quad (14)$$

$$a_i^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \left( \xi_i^* - \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \right) \quad b_i^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \left( \xi_i - \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i^*} \right) \quad (15)$$

satisfy the standard relations

$$[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = [b_i, b_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}. \quad (16)$$

Their quadratic combinations

$$R_{ij} = a_i^\dagger a_j + b_i b_j^\dagger \quad L_{ij} = a_i^\dagger a_j - b_i b_j^\dagger \quad (17)$$

$$N_{ij} = b_i a_j + a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger \quad Q_{ij} = i(b_i a_j - a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger) \quad (18)$$

constitute the algebra which is isomorphic to  $u(4, 4)$

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{ij}, R_{kn}] &= \delta_{kj} R_{in} - \delta_{in} R_{kj} & [L_{ij}, Q_{kn}] &= \delta_{kj} Q_{in} - \delta_{in} Q_{kj} \\ [L_{ij}, N_{kn}] &= \delta_{kj} N_{in} - \delta_{in} N_{kj} & [R_{ij}, Q_{kn}] &= -i(\delta_{kj} N_{in} + \delta_{in} N_{kj}) \\ [R_{ij}, N_{kn}] &= i(\delta_{kj} Q_{in} + \delta_{in} Q_{kj}) & [Q_{ij}, N_{kn}] &= i(\delta_{kj} R_{in} + \delta_{in} R_{kj}) \\ [L_{ij}, L_{kn}] &= \delta_{kj} L_{in} - \delta_{in} L_{kj} & [R_{ij}, R_{kn}] &= \delta_{kj} L_{in} - \delta_{in} L_{kj} \\ [Q_{ij}, Q_{kn}] &= -\delta_{kj} L_{in} + \delta_{in} L_{kj} & [N_{ij}, N_{kn}] &= -\delta_{kj} L_{in} + \delta_{in} L_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\mu\nu}^{ij} L_{ij} = \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu} = i[R\pi_\mu, R\pi_\nu] \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mu 0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu^{ij} N_{ij} = \mathcal{A}_\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{Y}_\mu - r_\mu) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mu 6} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu^{ij} R_{ij} = \mathcal{M}_\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{Y}_\mu + r_\mu) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mu 7} &= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu^{ij} Q_{ij} = \Gamma_\mu = R\pi_\mu \\ \mathcal{L}_{70} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} R_{ij} = \Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( R\pi^2 + \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{R} + R \right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{76} &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} N_{ij} = \Gamma_6 = \frac{1}{2} \left( R\pi^2 + \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{R} - R \right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{60} &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} Q_{ij} = \mathcal{T} = r_\mu \pi_\mu - 2i \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Y}_\mu = r_\mu \left( \pi^2 + \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{R^2} \right) + \{\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_{\lambda\mu}\} \quad (20)$$

where  $\gamma_\mu^{ij}$  are the components of the matrices  $\gamma_\mu$  defined in (8) and  $\Omega_{\mu\nu}^{ij} = (\frac{1}{2i} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu])^{ij}$ . The brackets  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  denote the commutator and anticommutator, respectively. (When written in 5D terms, the operators (19) contain the auxiliary coordinates (4) within  $\mathbf{K}^2$ , i.e. the equivariance condition has not been applied yet! But it does not matter, because  $\mathbf{K}^2$  commutes with all of  $\mathcal{L}_{ab}$ .)

The operators (19) satisfy  $SO(6, 2)$  commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_{ab}, \mathcal{L}_{cd}] &= i(g_{bc} \mathcal{L}_{da} - g_{da} \mathcal{L}_{bc} + g_{db} \mathcal{L}_{ac} - g_{ac} \mathcal{L}_{db}) \\ (g_{ab}) &= \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1) \\ a, b, c, d &= 0, \dots, 7. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We assert that  $SO(6, 2)$  is the group of dynamical symmetry of the 5D  $SU(2)$  Kepler problem because it contains the subgroup  $SO(1, 2)$  generated by  $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_6, \mathcal{T})$

$$[\Gamma_0, \Gamma_6] = i\mathcal{T} \quad [\Gamma_6, \mathcal{T}] = -i\Gamma_0 \quad [\mathcal{T}, \Gamma_0] = i\Gamma_6 \quad (22)$$

which is isomorphic to the group generated by

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= 2(\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_6) + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_6) \\ B_{20}^\dagger &= -i \left( \frac{\omega^2}{2}(\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_6) - 2(\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_6) - 2i\omega\mathcal{T} \right) \\ B_{20} &= i \left( \frac{\omega^2}{2}(\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_6) - 2(\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_6) + 2i\omega\mathcal{T} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

with  $SO(1, 2)$  commutation relations

$$\left[ \frac{H_0}{2\omega}, \frac{B_{20}^\dagger}{2\omega} \right] = i \frac{B_{20}^\dagger}{2\omega} \quad \left[ \frac{H_0}{2\omega}, \frac{B_{20}}{2\omega} \right] = -i \frac{B_{20}}{2\omega} \quad \left[ \frac{B_{20}}{2\omega}, \frac{B_{20}^\dagger}{2\omega} \right] = 2 \frac{H_0}{2\omega}. \quad (24)$$

The algebra (23) generates the spectrum of the 8D harmonic oscillator

$$E_{0N} = \omega(N + 4) \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (25)$$

and due to the relation (10) one can obtain the spectrum of the 5D  $SU(2)$  MIC-Kepler problem

$$\mathcal{E}_{0N} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2\left(\frac{N}{2} + 2\right)^2}. \quad (26)$$

In the case of the 5D  $SU(2)$  Kepler problem [8] the generators

$$\Gamma'_0 = \frac{1}{2}(R\pi^2 + R) \quad \Gamma'_6 = \frac{1}{2}(R\pi^2 - R) \quad \mathcal{T}' = r_\mu\pi_\mu - 2i \quad (27)$$

can be introduced. They satisfy the  $SO(1, 2)$  commutation relations

$$[\Gamma'_0, \Gamma'_6] = i\mathcal{T}' \quad [\Gamma'_6, \mathcal{T}'] = -i\Gamma'_0 \quad [\mathcal{T}', \Gamma'_0] = i\Gamma'_6 \quad (28)$$

and are related to the generators  $H, B_2^\dagger \equiv B_{20}^\dagger - i\frac{2l(l+1)}{\xi^*\xi}, B_2 \equiv B_{20} + i\frac{2l(l+1)}{\xi^*\xi}$ , satisfying

$$\left[ \frac{H}{2\omega}, \frac{B_2^\dagger}{2\omega} \right] = i \frac{B_2^\dagger}{2\omega} \quad \left[ \frac{H}{2\omega}, \frac{B_2}{2\omega} \right] = -i \frac{B_2}{2\omega} \quad \left[ \frac{B_2}{2\omega}, \frac{B_2^\dagger}{2\omega} \right] = 2 \frac{H}{2\omega} \quad (29)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 2(\Gamma'_0 + \Gamma'_6) + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(\Gamma'_0 - \Gamma'_6) \\ B_2^\dagger &= -i \left( \frac{\omega^2}{2}(\Gamma'_0 - \Gamma'_6) - 2(\Gamma'_0 + \Gamma'_6) - 2i\omega\mathcal{T}' \right) \\ B_2 &= i \left( \frac{\omega^2}{2}(\Gamma'_0 - \Gamma'_6) - 2(\Gamma'_0 + \Gamma'_6) + 2i\omega\mathcal{T}' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

By means of the algebra (30) and the relation (10) the spectrum of the 5D  $SU(2)$  Kepler problem can be derived, as done recently in [8]. However, the group  $SO(1, 2)$  generated by (28) cannot be extended up to  $SO(6, 2)$  as in the case of the ‘8D harmonic oscillator–5D  $SU(2)$  MIC-Kepler problem’. The situation is quite similar to that taking place in lower-dimensional consideration: the ‘4D harmonic oscillator–3D MIC-Kepler problem’ [1].

In conclusion we show how one can derive the  $SO(6)$  ‘hidden’ symmetry [6, 10] using the notation of (19). Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_\mu &= r_\mu \left( \pi^2 + \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{R^2} - \frac{2\kappa}{R} \right) + \frac{2\kappa r_\mu}{R} + \{\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_{\lambda\mu}\} \\ &= 2r_\mu \mathcal{H}_0 + \frac{2\kappa r_\mu}{R} + \{\pi_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_{\lambda\mu}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Then, one can define the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Y}_\mu - r_\mu \mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \{ \pi_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_{\lambda\mu} \} + \frac{\kappa r_\mu}{R} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{M}_\mu + \mathcal{A}_\mu}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{M}_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu}{2} (-2\mathcal{H}_0) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which satisfy

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] = i \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu} (-2\mathcal{H}_0) \quad (33)$$

or for the fixed energy level  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0$  one can introduce

$$\mathcal{D}'_\mu = \frac{\mathcal{D}_\mu}{\sqrt{-2\mathcal{E}_0}} \quad (34)$$

which fit

$$[\mathcal{D}'_\mu, \mathcal{D}'_\nu] = i \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (35)$$

The operators (34) along with  $\mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}$  constitute the algebra  $SO(6)$ .

The operators (19) generating the energy spectrum may be useful in many particle problems with quadrupole interaction manifesting  $SO(5)$  symmetry, for example in nuclear physics [11].

## References

- [1] Barut A and Bornzin G 1971 *J. Math. Phys.* **12** 841
- [2] Barut A and Raczka R 1977 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Warszawa: PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers)
- [3] Cisneros A and McIntosh H 1969 *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 277
- [4] Pris' I and Tolkachev E 1991 *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **54** 582
- [5] Iwai T 1990 *J. Geom. Phys.* **7** 507
- [6] Iwai T and Sunako T 1996 *J. Geom. Phys.* **20** 250
- [7] Mardoyan L, Sissakian A and Ter-Antonyan V 1997 8D oscillator as a hidden  $SU(2)$ -monopole *Preprint* hep-th/9712235
- [8] Pletyukhov M and Tolkachev E 1999 *J. Math. Phys.* **40** 93
- [9] Belavin A *et al* 1975 *Phys. Lett. B* **59** 85  
Yang C N 1978 *J. Math. Phys.* **19** 320
- [10] Mardoyan L, Sissakian A and Ter-Antonyan V 1998 Hidden symmetry of the Yang–Coulomb system *Preprint* hep-th/9803010
- [11] Arima A and Iachello F 1976 *Ann. Phys.* **99** 253  
Georgieva A *et al* 1986 *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **25** 1253  
Quesne C 1986 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** 7